CLK4 and ITGA6 are promising druggable targets for treating Parkinson Disease that control activity of ESR1, FOXO1 and AR transcription factors on promoters of differentially expressed genes

Demo User geneXplain GmbH info@genexplain.com Data received on 02/07/2020 ; Run on 12/07/2023 ; Report generated on 12/07/2023

Genome Enhancer release 3.2 (TRANSFAC®, TRANSPATH® and HumanPSD[™] release 2023.1)



Abstract

In the present study we applied the software package "Genome Enhancer" to a data set that contains *transcriptomics* data. The study is done in the context of *Parkinson Disease*. The goal of this pipeline is to identify potential drug targets in the molecular network that governs the studied pathological process. In the first step of analysis pipeline discovers transcription factors (TFs) that regulate genes activities in the pathological state. The activities of these TFs are controlled by so-called master regulators, which are identified in the second step of analysis. After a subsequent druggability checkup, the most promising master regulators are chosen as potential drug targets for the analyzed pathology. At the end the pipeline comes up with (a) a list of known drugs and (b) investigational active chemical compounds with the potential to interact with selected drug targets.

From the data set analyzed in this study, we found the following TFs to be potentially involved in the regulation of the differentially expressed genes: ESR1, FOXO1, YY1, AR, TFCP2 and RXRA. The subsequent network analysis suggested

- Caspase-8
- cIAP-2
- integrins
- CLK4

as the most promising molecular targets for further research, drug development and drug repurposing initiatives on the basis of identified molecular mechanism of the studied pathology. Having checked the actual druggability potential of the full list of identified targets, both, via information available in medical literature and via cheminformatics analysis of drug compounds, we have identified the following drugs as the most promising treatment candidates for the studied pathology: Sirolimus, 1-(5-Tert-Butyl-2-P-Tolyl-2h-Pyrazol-3-YI)-3-[4-(2-Morpholin-4-YI-Ethoxy)-Naphthalen-1-YI]-Urea, Lipoic Acid and 2,5,7-Trihydroxynaphthoquinone.

1. Introduction

Recording "-omics" data to measure gene activities, protein expression or metabolic events is becoming a standard approach to characterize the pathological state of an affected organism or tissue. Increasingly, several of these methods are applied in a combined approach leading to large "multiomics" datasets. Still the challenge remains how to reveal the underlying molecular mechanisms that render a given pathological state different from the norm. The disease-causing mechanism can be described by a re-wiring of the cellular regulatory network, for instance as a result of a genetic or epigenetic alterations influencing the activity of relevant genes. Reconstruction of the disease-specific regulatory networks can help identify potential master regulators of the respective pathological process. Knowledge about these master regulators can point to ways how to block a pathological regulatory cascade. Suppression of certain molecular targets as components of these cascades may stop the pathological process and cure the disease.

Conventional approaches of statistical "-omics" data analysis provide only very limited information about the causes of the observed phenomena and therefore contribute little to the understanding of the pathological molecular mechanism. In contrast, the "upstream analysis" method [1-4] applied here has been deviced to provide a casual interpretation of the data obtained for a pathology state. This approach comprises two major steps: (1) analysing promoters and enhancers of differentially expressed genes for the transcription factors (TFs) involved in their regulation and, thus, important for the process under study; (2) re-constructing the signaling pathways that activate these TFs and identifying master regulators at the top of such pathways. For the first step, the database TRANSFAC® [6] is employed together with the TF binding site identification algorithms Match [7] and CMA [8]. The second step involves the signal transduction database TRANSPATH® [9] and special graph search algorithms [10] implemented in the software "Genome Enhancer".

The "upstream analysis" approach has now been extended by a third step that reveals known drugs suitable to inhibit (or activate) the identified molecular targets in the context of the disease under study. This step is performed by using information from HumanPSD[™] database [5]. In addition, some known drugs and investigational active chemical compounds are subsequently predicted as potential ligands for the revealed molecular targets. They are predicted using a pre-computed database of spectra of biological activities of chemical compounds of a library of 2245 known drugs and investigational chemical compounds from HumanPSD[™] database. The spectra of biological activities for these compounds are computed using the program PASS on the basis of a (Q)SAR approach [11-13]. These predictions can be used for the research purposes - for further drug development and drug repurposing initiatives.

2. Data

For this study the following experimental data was used:

Table 1. Experimental datasets used in the study

File name	Data type	
GSE145804_DESeq2_final	Transcriptomics	




Figure 1. Annotation diagram of experimental data used in this study. With the colored boxes we show those sub-categories of the data that are compared in our analysis.

3. Results

We have compared the following conditions: noRA_Dox versus noRA_noDox.

3.1. Identification of target genes

In the first step of the analysis **target genes** were identified from the uploaded experimental data. We applied the edgeR tool (R/Bioconductor package integrated into our pipeline) and compared gene expression in the following sets: "noRA_Dox" with "noRA_noDox". edgeR calculated the LogFC (the logarithm to the base 2 of the fold change between different conditions), the p-value and the adjusted p-value (corrected for multiple testing) of the observed fold change. As a result, we detected 5393 upregulated genes (LogFC>0.1) out of which 578 genes were found as significantly upregulated (p-value<0.1) and 6588 downregulated genes (LogFC<-0.1) out of which 726 genes were significantly downregulated (p-value<0.1). See tables below for the top significantly up- and downregulated genes. Below we call **target genes** the full list of up- and downregulated genes revealed in our analysis (see tables in Supplementary section).

Gene symbol	Gene description	logFC	logCPM	PValue	FDR
SNCA	synuclein alpha	4.38	10.36	2.71E-88	4.59E- 84
SPP1	secreted phosphoprotein 1	2.98	-0.28	6.23E-7	2.11E- 3
KCNAB1	potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A member regulatory beta subunit 1	2.91	0.49	1.32E-7	5.61E- 4
VCAM1	vascular cell adhesion molecule 1	2.67	-4.05E-2	1.1E-5	2.34E- 2
	novel transcript	2.15	0.2	1.42E-4	0.16
USP8P1	USP8 pseudogene 1	1.81	0.19	4.4E-3	0.83
GCSHP5	glycine cleavage system protein H pseudogene 5	1.72	-0.1	1.84E-3	0.6
		1.65	0.44	2.8E-3	0.68
PATL2	PAT1 homolog 2	1.53	-0.14	7.97E-3	0.98
BTF3P8	basic transcription factor 3 pseudogene 8	1.53	-0.48	9.95E-3	0.98
	symbol SNCA SPP1 CCAM1 VCAM1 USP8P1 GCSHP5 PATL2	SymbolGene descriptionSNCAsynuclein alphaSPP1secreted phosphoprotein 1KCNAB1potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A member regulatory beta subunit 1VCAM1vascular cell adhesion molecule 1NCAnovel transcriptUSP8P1USP8 pseudogene 1GCSHP5glycine cleavage system protein H pseudogene 5PATL2PAT1 homolog 2BTE3D8basic transcription factor 3	SymbolGene descriptionlogFCSNCAsynuclein alpha4.38SPP1secreted phosphoprotein 12.98KCNAB1potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A member regulatory beta subunit 12.91VCAM1vascular cell adhesion molecule 12.67VCAM1vascular cell adhesion molecule 12.67USP8P1USP8 pseudogene 11.81GCSHP5glycine cleavage system protein H pseudogene 51.72PATL2PAT1 homolog 21.53BTE3P8basic transcription factor 31.53	SymbolGene descriptionIogFCIogCPMSNCAsynuclein alpha4.3810.36SPP1secreted phosphoprotein 12.98-0.28KCNAB1potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A member regulatory beta subunit 12.910.49VCAM1vascular cell adhesion molecule 12.67-4.05E-2NCAnovel transcript2.150.2USP8P1USP8 pseudogene 11.810.19GCSHP5glycine cleavage system protein H pseudogene 51.72-0.1PATL2PAT1 homolog 21.53-0.14BTE3P8basic transcription factor 31.53-0.48	SymbolGene descriptionlogFClogCPMPValueSNCAsynuclein alpha4.3810.362.71E-88SPP1secreted phosphoprotein 12.98-0.286.23E-7KCNAB1potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily A member regulatory beta subunit 12.910.491.32E-7VCAM1vascular cell adhesion molecule 12.67-4.05E-21.1E-5NCAnovel transcript2.150.21.42E-4USP8P1USP8 pseudogene 11.810.194.4E-3GCSHP5glycine cleavage system protein H pseudogene 51.650.442.8E-3PATL2PAT1 homolog 21.53-0.147.97E-3BTE3P8basic transcription factor 31.53-0.480.95E-3

Table 2. Top ten significant **up-regulated** genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. See full table \rightarrow

ID	Gene symbol	Gene description	logFC	logCPM	PValue	FDR
ENSG00000186081	KRT5	keratin 5	-10.36	2.57	9.35E-7	2.64E- 3
ENSG00000257594	GALNT4	polypeptide N- acetylgalactosaminyltransferase 4	-2.37	1.39E-2	4.31E-5	6.08E- 2
ENSG00000167244	IGF2	insulin like growth factor 2	-2.13	-0.49	1.23E-3	0.47
ENSG00000255115		family with sequence similarity 162, member A (FAM162A) pseudogene	-1.97	-0.38	2.22E-3	0.61
ENSG00000134955	SLC37A2	solute carrier family 37 member 2	-1.9	-0.42	9.67E-3	0.98
ENSG00000111679	PTPN6	protein tyrosine phosphatase non- receptor type 6	-1.9	-0.13	4.43E-3	0.83
ENSG0000179846	NKPD1	NTPase KAP family P-loop domain containing 1	-1.87	-0.33	3.5E-3	0.79
ENSG00000137801	THBS1	thrombospondin 1	-1.83	0.49	4.4E-3	0.83
ENSG00000269054	ZNF497- AS1	ZNF497 antisense RNA 1	-1.82	-9.51E-2	1.69E-3	0.56
ENSG00000224886		novel pseudogene	-1.82	-0.35	6.76E-3	0.98

Table 3. Top ten significant **down-regulated** genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. See full table \rightarrow


3.2. Functional classification of genes

A functional analysis of differentially expressed genes was done by mapping the significant upregulated and significant down-regulated genes to several known ontologies, such as Gene Ontology (GO), disease ontology (based on HumanPSD[™] database) and the ontology of signal transduction and metabolic pathways from the TRANSPATH® database. Statistical significance was computed using a binomial test.

Figures 3-8 show the most significant categories.

Heatmap of differentially expressed genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox

A heatmap of all differentially expressed genes playing a potential regulatory role in the system (enriched in TRANSPATH® pathways) is presented in Figure 2.






Figure 2. Heatmap of genes enriched in Transpath categories. The colored bar at the top shows the types of the samples according to the legend in the upper right corner. See full diagram \rightarrow

Up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox:

578 significant up-regulated genes were taken for the mapping.

GO (biological process)

			biolog	ical_proces	s Gene Ontology	treemap			
positive regulation of centriole elongation	regulation of centriole elongation				membrane to membrane docking		response to metal ion	cellular extravasation	astrocyte cell migration
	positive regulation of centrosome duplication	L-fucose metabolic process		e metabolic	membrane do	cking	response to iron ion	T cell extravasation	glial cell migration
positive regulation of centriole replication			p	rocess	membran membrane d		response to metal lon	cellular extravasation	astrocyte cell migration
	positive regulation	L-fucose cat	tabolic p	rocess	regulation of leukocyte migration	regulation of cellular		centriole elongation	cilium movement
	of centrosome cycle	carnitine tran	isport	quaternary ammonium	realized to gradient	extravasatio			
DNA replication checkpoint	of centriole elongation mitotic DNA replication			group transport			proteolysis	centriole elongation	cilium movement
	checkpoint	amino-acid betain	e transport		regulation of leuko defense response to virus	response	macromolecule metabolic process	nitrogen compound metabolic process	negative regulation of G protein-coupled recepto signaling pathway
	DNA damage checkpoint	carnitin	e trans	port	to virus	to virus	macromolecule metabolic process	nitrogen compound metabolic process	negative regulation of G protein-coupled recepto signaling pathway
DNA integrity checkpoint			itotic cell cle phase	cell cycle G1/S phase			metabolic process	sperm-egg recognition	regulation of response to external stimulus
	cell cycle checkpoint	cycle tr	ansition	transition	defense respo		_		
DNA replicat	ion checkpoint				cellular response to ionizing	response to ionizing radiation	metabolic process	sperm-egg recognition	regulation of response to external stimulus
AMP metabolic proces	ss nucleoside monophosphate				radiation		response to cobalt ion	double-strand	regulation of SNARE complex assembly
	phosphorylation		egative	negative	cellular respons		D	break repair via break-induced	regulation of SNARE
			grammed	regulation of necrotic	ionizing ra		response to cobalt ion	replication	complex assembly
purine ribonucleoside	e purine nucleoside monophosphate metabolic process	process ne	crotic cell death	cell death	DINA Tepli	CauON	oligosaccharide metabolic process	organic substance metabolic process	complement-dependen cytotoxicity
monophosphate metabolic process AMP metab	polic process	negative regulation	n of necropto	tic process	DNA repl	ication	oligosaccharide metabolic process	organic substance metabolic process	complement-dependen cytotoxicity

Figure 3. Enriched GO (biological process) of up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. Full classification \rightarrow

TRANSPATH® Pathways (2023.1)





Figure 4. Enriched TRANSPATH[®] Pathways (2023.1) of up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. Full classification \rightarrow

HumanPSD(TM) disease (2023.1)



size of the bars correspond to the num **Full classification** \rightarrow

Down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox:

726 significant down-regulated genes were taken for the mapping.

GO (biological process)

						biologica	I_process G	ene	Ontology treem	ар			-			
regulation of cell development	regulation regulation	nesis ax	egulation of conogenesis gative po	- V	lation of cell phogenesis negative	axonogenesis	membra bounded project morphoge	ane I cell ion enesis	negative regulation of phosphorylation	negative regulation of protein phosphoryla	n 1	regulation of developmental process	regulation of cel differentiation	l cell jur organiz		synapse organization
regulation of cell morphogenesis involved in differentiation	anatom structu morphoge	iical reg ire of n enesis sy deve	ulation reg ervous o stem deve topment	julation f cell flopment	regulation of neurogenesis	cell projection morphogenesis			negative regulation of phosphate metabolic process	negative regulation of MAPK casea regulat	of ade	regulation of multicellular organismal	positive regulation of developmental	cell-substra		cell-substrati
regulation of nervous system development	regulation neuron pro developi	pjection of ment pro	ulation neuron ojection elopment	I morphogenesis nvolved m ifferentiation	regulation of neuron differentiation	neuron projectio morphogenesis axono		ent nesis	negative of phosp			development regula	process ition of intal process	organizatio	assemu	assertiony
regulation of neuro differentiation	positiv regulatio n axonoger positive reg	ve regu on of nervo nesis deve	ulation of re us system of elopment ex ositive re	gulation of axon ttension gulation dendrite	regulation of cell projection organization positive regulation of	axon development	neuron projection developme		~	generation of neurons		nervous system development	system de	velopment	org devel	cellular anism lopment cellular
biosynthetic process bio	econdary	ogenesis ^o fr ame sterol biosynthetic process	renuauon protein localizati to membra	on c ane	RP-dependent otranslational protein targeting	projection m organization p org	plasma dendr embrane develop unded cell ojection anization	ment	generation of embryonic morphogenesis	f neurons	d	rvous syste evelopmen atomical structur development	t system de	l structure	devel negative of nitroger	anism opment regulation compound ic process
biosynthetic bio process via pro	olesterol osynthetic b ocess via thosterol	alcohol iosynthetic process	cotranslatio protein targeting to membra	nal loc er	protein calization to ndoplasmic reticulum	ell morphoge cell morphoge involved in differentiatio	nesis ^{cell} morphoge	nesis	embryonic organ embryonic morg cell development			tomical structu development lopmental proce	morphog	genesis	of nitroge metabol cellular c	regulation n compound ic process omponent ization
cholesterol metabolic process	organic hydroxy compound metabolic	alcohol metabolic process	protein targe to membra	~	protein geting to ER		uron hesis involv entiation	ed		development	neg bio	elopmental proce ative regulation ological process	of cellular comp	onent <mark>reg</mark> i n or mul	organ	component ization regulation o biological process
secondary ^p alcohol metabolic process	process henol-containing compound metabolic process	norepinephrine metabolic process	establishment localizatio endoplasmic r	n to	cellular protein localization	multicellular organismal homeostasis	energy homeostas renal syste	is	cell develo	cellular evelopmental process	nega bio	ative regulation blogical process be development	of organizatio	n or regu is multi of org	ilation of ticellular anismal rocess	egulation o biological process
sterol metabolic o process cholesterol bi	rganic hydroxy compound biosynthetic osynthetic	small molecule	•	loca emb	lization rane	multic organismal	ellular	is	cell differe	ntiation	tub	e developme	regulation	of	regulati tabolic	ion of process

Figure 6. Enriched GO (biological process) of down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. **Full classification** \rightarrow

TRANSPATH® Pathways (2023.1)




Figure 7. Enriched TRANSPATH[®] Pathways (2023.1) of down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. **Full classification** \rightarrow

HumanPSD(TM) disease (2023.1)





Figure 8. Enriched HumanPSD(TM) disease (2023.1) of down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. The size of the bars correspond to the number of biomarkers of the given disease found among the input set. **Full classification** \rightarrow

The result of overall Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of the differentially expressed genes of the studied pathology can be summarized by the following diagram, revealing the most significant functional categories overrepresented among the observed (differentially expressed genes):



Up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox hits

Down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox hits

--- Up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox -log10(P-value)

- Down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox -log10(P-value)

3.3. Analysis of enriched transcription factor binding sites and composite modules

In the next step a search for transcription factors binding sites (TFBS) was performed in the regulatory regions of the *target genes* by using the TF binding motif library of the TRANSFAC® database. We searched for so called **composite modules** that act as potential condition-specific **enhancers** of the *target genes* in their upstream regulatory regions (-1000 bp upstream of transcription start site (TSS)) and identify transcription factors regulating activity of the genes through such **enhancers**.

Classically, **enhancers** are defined as regions in the genome that increase transcription of one or several genes when inserted in either orientation at various distances upstream or downstream of the gene [8]. Enhancers typically have a length of several hundreds of nucleotides and are bound by multiple transcription factors in a cooperative manner [9].


We applied the Composite Module Analyst (CMA) [8] method to detect such potential enhancers, as targets of multiple TFs bound in a cooperative manner to the regulatory regions of the genes of interest. CMA applies a genetic algorithm to construct a generalized model of the enhancers by specifying combinations of TF motifs (from TRANSFAC®) whose sites are most frequently clustered together in the regulatory regions of the studied genes. CMA identifies the transcription factors that through their cooperation provide a synergistic effect and thus have a great influence on the gene regulation process.

Enhancer model potentially involved in regulation of target genes (up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox).

To build the most specific composite modules we choose top 300 significant up-regulated genes as the input of CMA algorithm. The obtained CMA model is then applied to compute CMA score for all up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox.

The model consists of 2 module(s). Below, for each module the following information is shown: - PWMs producing matches,

- number of individual matches for each PWM,
- score of the best match.



Model score (-p*log10(pval)): 15.48 Wilcoxon p-value (pval): 1.18e-30 Penalty (p): 0.517 Average yes-set score: 5.67 Average no-set score: 4.18 AUC: 0.74 Separation point: 5.06 False-positive: 27.86% False-negative: 31.42%




Table 4. List of top ten up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox with identified enhancers in their regulatory regions. **CMA score** - the score of the CMA model of the enhancer identified in the regulatory region. **See full table** \rightarrow


Ensembl IDs	Gene symbol	Gene description	CMA score	Factor names
ENSG00000252982	RN7SKP234	RN7SK pseudogene 234	11.38	YY1(h), ER-alpha(h), FOXP1(h), FOXO1(h),Hox-A10(h), PDX-1(h), POU1F1(h), Hox-B6(h)
ENSG00000073905	VDAC1P1	voltage dependent anion channel 1 pseudogene 1	11.24	PDX-1(h), Hox-B6(h), POU1F1(h), TBX21(h), YY1(h), SMAD1(h), FOXO1(h),Hox-A10(h)
ENSG00000200494	Y_RNA	Y RNA	10.66	PDX-1(h), FOXP1(h), FOXO1(h),Hox- A10(h), YY1(h), POU1F1(h), Hox- B6(h), ER-alpha(h)
ENSG00000231006	RPL7P32	ribosomal protein L7 pseudogene 32	10.2	POU1F1(h), TBX21(h), YY1(h), FOXO1(h),Hox-A10(h), ER-alpha(h), PDX-1(h)
ENSG00000275496		novel transcript	10.13	YY1(h), ER-alpha(h), FOXO1(h),Hox- A10(h), POU1F1(h), PDX-1(h), FOXP1(h), TBX21(h)
ENSG00000276077		novel transcript	10.12	TBX21(h), POU1F1(h), PDX-1(h), FOXP1(h), YY1(h), FOXO1(h),Hox- A10(h), ER-alpha(h)
ENSG00000239374		ribosomal protein L21 (RPL21) pseudogene	9.95	FOXO1(h),Hox-A10(h), ER-alpha(h), YY1(h), PDX-1(h), POU1F1(h), FOXP1(h)
ENSG00000223529	EEF1A1P8	eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 alpha 1 pseudogene 8	9.84	YY1(h), ER-alpha(h), FOXO1(h),Hox- A10(h), Hox-B6(h), PDX-1(h), POU1F1(h)
ENSG00000146842	TMEM209	transmembrane protein 209	9.73	YY1(h), POU1F1(h), PDX-1(h), Hox- B6(h), FOXO1(h),Hox-A10(h), FOXP1(h)
ENSG00000279347		TEC	9.71	POU1F1(h), TBX21(h), PDX-1(h), SMAD1(h), YY1(h), FOXO1(h),Hox- A10(h), ER-alpha(h)

Enhancer model potentially involved in regulation of target genes (down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox).

To build the most specific composite modules we choose top 300 significant down-regulated genes as the input of CMA algorithm. The obtained CMA model is then applied to compute CMA score for all down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox.

The model consists of 2 module(s). Below, for each module the following information is shown: - PWMs producing matches,

- number of individual matches for each PWM,
- score of the best match.



Model score (-p*log10(pval)): 18.78 Wilcoxon p-value (pval): 4.95e-37 Penalty (p): 0.517 Average yes-set score: 8.00 Average no-set score: 6.29 AUC: 0.77 Separation point: 7.30 False-positive: 25.65% False-negative: 31.86%




Table 5. List of top ten down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox with identified enhancers in their regulatory regions. **CMA score** - the score of the CMA model of the enhancer identified in the regulatory region. **See full table** \rightarrow

Ensembl IDs	Gene symbol	Gene description	CMA score	Factor names
ENSG00000229081	LINC01165	long intergenic non-protein coding RNA 1165	13.57	GCMa(h), RAR- alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), CP2(h), AR(h), REST(h), MafG(h), BRCA2(h)
ENSG00000179846	NKPD1	NTPase KAP family P-loop domain containing 1	13.53	MafG(h), REST(h), GCMa(h), RAR- alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), CP2(h), POU2F1(h), hHR21(h)
ENSG00000049540	ELN	elastin	13.28	AR(h), RAR-alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), hHR21(h), BRCA2(h), REST(h), CP2(h), MafG(h)
ENSG00000111664	GNB3	G protein subunit beta 3	13.22	RAR-alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), CP2(h), MafG(h), REST(h), GCMa(h), AR(h), hHR21(h)
ENSG00000186193	SAPCD2	suppressor APC domain containing 2	13	AR(h), REST(h), CP2(h), RAR- alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), hHR21(h), BRCA2(h), GCMa(h)
ENSG00000178404	CEP295NL	CEP295 N-terminal like	13	hHR21(h), CP2(h), MafG(h), RAR- alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), BRCA2(h), REST(h), GCMa(h)
ENSG00000182378	PLCXD1	phosphatidylinositol specific phospholipase C X domain containing 1	12.95	REST(h), MafG(h), GCMa(h), CP2(h), RAR-alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), hHR21(h), BRCA2(h)
ENSG00000103066	PLA2G15	phospholipase A2 group XV	12.9	hHR21(h), AR(h), BRCA2(h), CP2(h), MafG(h), GCMa(h), RAR- alpha(h),RXRalpha(h)
ENSG00000128394	APOBEC3F	apolipoprotein B mRNA editing enzyme catalytic subunit 3F	12.78	RAR-alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), GCMa(h), hHR21(h), AR(h), REST(h), CP2(h), MafG(h)
ENSG00000104901	DKKL1	dickkopf like acrosomal protein 1	12.75	REST(h), RAR- alpha(h),RXRalpha(h), CP2(h), BRCA2(h), MafG(h), hHR21(h), GCMa(h)

On the basis of the enhancer models we identified transcription factors potentially regulating the **target genes** of our interest. We found 10 and 10 transcription factors controlling expression of upand down-regulated genes respectively (see Tables 6-7).

Table 6. Transcription factors of the predicted enhancer model potentially regulating the differentially expressed genes (up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox). **Yes-No ratio** is the ratio between frequencies of the sites in Yes sequences versus No sequences. It describes the level of the enrichment of binding sites for the indicated TF in the regulatory target regions. **Regulatory score** is the measure of involvement of the given TF in the controlling of expression of genes that encode master regulators presented below (through positive feedback loops).

See 1	full	tabl	e →
-------	------	------	------------


Gene symbol	Gene description	Regulatory score	Yes-No ratio
ESR1	estrogen receptor 1	2.88	1.37
FOXO1	forkhead box O1	2.86	5.06
YY1	YY1 transcription factor	2.46	1.26
HOXA10	homeobox A10	2.38	1.66
FOXP1	forkhead box P1	2.26	3.93
SMAD1	SMAD family member 1	2.25	1.29
PDX1	pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1	1.83	2.48
HOXB6	homeobox B6	1.71	2
POU1F1	POU class 1 homeobox 1	1.71	1.46
TBX21	T-box transcription factor 21	1.58	3.65
	Symbol ESR1 FOXO1 YY1 HOXA10 FOXP1 SMAD1 PDX1 HOXB6 POU1F1	SymbolGene descriptionESR1estrogen receptor 1FOXO1forkhead box O1YY1YY1 transcription factorHOXA10homeobox A10FOXP1forkhead box P1SMAD1SMAD family member 1PDX1pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 1HOXB6homeobox B6POU1F1POU class 1 homeobox 1	symbolGene descriptionscoreESR1estrogen receptor 12.88FOX01forkhead box 012.86YY1YY1 transcription factor2.46HOXA10homeobox A102.38FOXP1forkhead box P12.26SMAD1SMAD family member 12.25PDX1pancreatic and duodenal homeobox 11.83HOXB6homeobox B61.71POU1F1POU class 1 homeobox 11.71

Table 7. Transcription factors of the predicted enhancer model potentially regulating the differentially expressed genes (down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox). **Yes-No ratio** is the ratio between frequencies of the sites in Yes sequences versus No sequences. It describes the level of the enrichment of binding sites for the indicated TF in the regulatory target regions. **Regulatory score** is the measure of involvement of the given TF in the controlling of expression of genes that encode master regulators presented below (through positive feedback loops).

See full table \rightarrow

ID	Gene symbol	Gene description	Regulatory score	Yes-No ratio
MO000021454	AR	androgen receptor	4.31	4.51
MO000117988	TFCP2	transcription factor CP2	3.82	5.07
MO000019619	RXRA	retinoid X receptor alpha	3.78	1.77
MO000042938	RAD21	RAD21 cohesin complex component	3.4	1.33
MO000045487	BRCA2	BRCA2 DNA repair associated	3.34	1.32
MO000025003	POU2F1	POU class 2 homeobox 1	3.17	1.92
MO000041817	REST	RE1 silencing transcription factor	3.16	4.23
MO000033904	RARA	retinoic acid receptor alpha	3.09	2.07
MO000026306	GCM1	glial cells missing transcription factor 1	2.72	5.5
MO000028667	MAFG	MAF bZIP transcription factor G	2.7	20.3

The following diagram represents the key transcription factors, which were predicted to be potentially regulating differentially expressed genes in the analyzed pathology: ESR1, FOXO1, YY1, AR, TFCP2 and RXRA.



3.4. Finding master regulators in networks

In the second step of the upstream analysis common regulators of the revealed TFs were identified. These master regulators appear to be the key candidates for therapeutic targets as they have a master effect on regulation of intracellular pathways that activate the pathological process of our study. The identified master regulators are shown in Tables 8-9.

Table 8. Master regulators that may govern the regulation of **up-regulated** genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. **Total rank** is the sum of the ranks of the master molecules sorted by keynode score, CMA score, transcriptomics data. **See full table** \rightarrow

ID	Master molecule name	Gene symbol	Gene description	logFC	Total rank
MO000032073	cIAP-2(h)	BIRC3	baculoviral IAP repeat containing 3	0.62	95
MO000020219	Caspase-8(h)	CASP8	caspase 8	0.55	118
MO000207181	CLK4(h)	CLK4	CDC like kinase 4	0.38	138
MO000117508	TC-PTP(h)	PTPN2	protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 2	0.3	147
MO000043060	(Caspase- 8(h))2	CASP8	caspase 8	0.55	151
MO000043221	Caspase-8a(h)	CASP8	caspase 8	0.55	158
MO000125587	Osteopontin(h)	SPP1	secreted phosphoprotein 1	2.98	162
MO000021036	Caspase-8(h)	CASP8	caspase 8	0.55	168
MO000038894	(Caspase-8)2	CASP8	caspase 8	0.55	182
MO000017291	integrins	ITGA1, ITGA2B, ITGA3, ITGA4, ITGA5, ITGA6, ITGA8, ITGA9, ITGAL, ITGAV, ITGB1, ITGB2, ITGB3, ITGB4, I	integrin subunit alpha 1, integrin subunit alpha 2b, integrin subunit alpha 3, integrin subunit alph	0.22	184

Table 9. Master regulators that may govern the regulation of **down-regulated** genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. **Total rank** is the sum of the ranks of the master molecules sorted by keynode score, CMA score, transcriptomics data. **See full table** \rightarrow

ID	Master molecule name	Gene symbol	Gene description	logFC	Total rank
MO000032657	DUSP8(h)	DUSP8	dual specificity phosphatase 8	-0.65	146
MO000104136	cyclosome(h):Fzr1(h)	ANAPC1, ANAPC10, ANAPC11, ANAPC2, ANAPC4, ANAPC5, ANAPC7, CDC16, CDC23, CDC26, CDC27, FZR1	anaphase promoting complex subunit 1, anaphase promoting complex subunit 10, anaphase promoting comp	-0.54	148
MO000043414	cyclosome(h)	ANAPC1, ANAPC10, ANAPC11, ANAPC2, ANAPC4, ANAPC5, ANAPC7, CDC16, CDC23, CDC26, CDC27	anaphase promoting complex subunit 1, anaphase promoting complex subunit 10, anaphase promoting comp	-0.54	158
MO000281381	(angiotensin II)2:(AT2 receptor)2:(ATIP- isoform3)2:SHP-1	AGT, AGTR2, MTUS1, PTPN6	angiotensin II receptor type 2, angiotensinogen, microtubule associated scaffold protein 1, protein	-1.9	169
MO000165201	mTOR(h):rictor(h):mLST8(h):SIN1(h):Protor- 1(h)	MAPKAP1, MLST8, MTOR, PRR5, RICTOR	MAPK associated protein 1, MTOR associated protein, LST8 homolog, RPTOR independent companion of MTO	-0.66	170
MO000280531	rictor- isoform1(h):mTOR(h):SIN1(h):mLST8(h)	MAPKAP1, MLST8, MTOR, RICTOR	MAPK associated protein 1, MTOR associated protein, LST8 homolog, RPTOR independent companion of MTO	-0.55	196
MO000017291	integrins	ITGA1, ITGA2B, ITGA3, ITGA4, ITGA5, ITGA6, ITGA8, ITGA9, ITGAL, ITGAL, ITGA1, ITGB1, ITGB3,	integrin subunit alpha 1, integrin subunit alpha 2b, integrin subunit alpha 3, integrin subunit alph	-1.12	209

	ITGB4, I			
MO000004685 ERK4(h)	MAPK4	mitogen- activated protein kinase 4	-0.63	219
MO000167265 SHP-1L(h)	PTPN6	protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 6	-1.9	245
MO000059918 SHP1-isoform1(h)	PTPN6	protein tyrosine phosphatase non-receptor type 6	-1.9	246

The intracellular regulatory pathways controlled by the above-mentioned master regulators are depicted in Figures 9 and 10. These diagrams display the connections between identified transcription factors, which play important roles in the regulation of differentially expressed genes, and selected master regulators, which are responsible for the regulation of these TFs.




Figure 9. Diagram of intracellular regulatory signal transduction pathways of up-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. Master regulators are indicated by red rectangles, transcription factors are blue rectangles, and green rectangles are intermediate molecules, which have been added to the network during the search for master regulators from selected TFs. Orange and blue frames highlight molecules that are encoded by up- and downregulated genes, resp. See full diagram \rightarrow




Figure 10. Diagram of intracellular regulatory signal transduction pathways of down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox. Master regulators are indicated by red rectangles, transcription factors are blue rectangles, and green rectangles are intermediate molecules, which have been added to the network during the search for master regulators from selected TFs. Orange and blue frames highlight molecules that are encoded by up- and downregulated genes, resp. **See full diagram** \rightarrow

4. Finding prospective drug targets

The identified master regulators that may govern pathology associated genes were checked for druggability potential using HumanPSDTM [5] database of gene-disease-drug assignments and PASS [11-13] software for prediction of biological activities of chemical compounds on the basis of a (Q)SAR approach. Respectively, for each master regulator protein we have computed two Druggability scores: HumanPSD Druggability score and PASS Druggability score. Where Druggability score represents the number of drugs that are potentially suitable for inhibition (or activation) of the corresponding target either according to the information extracted from medical literature (from HumanPSDTM database) or

according to cheminformatics predictions of compounds activity against the examined target (from PASS software).

The cheminformatics druggability check is done using a pre-computed database of spectra of biological activities of chemical compounds from a library of all small molecular drugs from HumanPSD[™] database, 2507 pharmaceutically active known chemical compounds in total. The spectra of biological activities has been computed using the program PASS [11-13] on the basis of a (Q)SAR approach.

If both Druggability scores were below defined thresholds (see Methods section for the details) such master regulator proteins were not used in further analysis of drug prediction.

As a result we created the following two tables of prospective drug targets (top targets are shown here):

6

Table 10. Prospective drug targets selected from full list of identified master regulators filtered by Druggability score from HumanPSD[™] database. Druggability score contains the number of drugs that are potentially suitable for inhibition (or activation) of the target. The drug targets are sorted according to the **Total rank** which is the sum of three ranks computed on the basis of the three scores: keynode score, CMA score and expression change score (logFC, if present). See Methods section for details. See full table \rightarrow

Gene symbol Gene Description Druggability score logFC Total rank CLK4 CDC like kinase 4 0.38 26 138 ITGA6 integrin subunit alpha 6 1 0.22 184 226 VCAM1 vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 12 2.67 0.23 PLK4 polo like kinase 4 28 240 PRKD1 34 288 protein kinase D1 0.18 BIRC3 baculoviral IAP repeat containing 3 6 0.62 292

Table 11. Prospective drug targets selected from full list of identified master regulators filtered by Druggability score predicted by PASS software. Here, the Druggability score for master regulator proteins is computed as a sum of PASS calculated probabilities to be active as a target for various small molecular compounds. The drug targets are sorted according to the **Total rank** which is the sum of three ranks computed on the basis of the three scores: keynode score, CMA score and expression change score (logFC, if present). See Methods section for details.


See full table \rightarrow

Gene symbol	Gene Description	Druggability score	logFC	Total rank
CLK4	CDC like kinase 4	6.44	0.38	138
ITGA6	integrin subunit alpha 6	6.21	0.22	184
ITGA1	integrin subunit alpha 1	6.21	0.22	184
VEGFD	vascular endothelial growth factor D	19.65	1.27	185
VCAM1	vascular cell adhesion molecule 1	3.78	2.67	226
CCNH	cyclin H	2.59	0.23	228

Below we represent schematically the main mechanism of the studied pathology. In the schema we considered the top two drug targets of each of the two categories computed above. In addition we have added two top identified master regulators for which no drugs may be identified yet, but that are playing the crucial role in the molecular mechanism of the studied pathology. Thus the molecular mechanism of the studied pathology was predicted to be mainly based on the following key master regulators:

- Caspase-8
- cIAP-2
- integrins
- CLK4

This result allows us to suggest the following schema of affecting the molecular mechanism of the studied pathology:



Drugs which are shown on this schema: Terlipressin, 2,5,7-Trihydroxynaphthoquinone, Cytarabine, Hesperetin, Tegafur, Lanreotide, Curcumin, 1-(5-Tert-Butyl-2-P-Tolyl-2h-Pyrazol-3-YI)-3-[4-(2-Morpholin-4-YI-Ethoxy)-Naphthalen-1-YI]-Urea and 4-Aminophthalhydrazide, should be considered as a prospective research initiative for further drug repurposing and drug development. These drugs were selected as top matching treatments to the most prospective drug targets of the studied pathology, however, these results should be considered with special caution and are to be used for research purposes only, as there is not enough clinical information for adapting these results towards immediate treatment of patients.

The drugs given in dark red color on the schema are FDA approved drugs or drugs which have gone through various phases of clinical trials as active treatments against the selected targets.

The drugs given in pink color on the schema are drugs, which were cheminformatically predicted to be active against the selected targets.

5. Identification of potential drugs

In the last step of the analysis we strived to identify known activities as well as drugs with cheminformatically predicted activities that are potentially suitable for inhibition (or activation) of the identified molecular targets in the context of specified human diseases(s).

Proposed drugs are top ranked drug candidates, that were found to be active on the identified targets and were selected from 4 categories:

- 1. FDA approved drugs or used in clinical trials drugs for the studied pathology;
- 2. Repurposing drugs used in clinical trials for other pathologies;

- 3. Drugs, predicted by PASS to be active against identified drug targets and against the studied pathology;
- 4. Drugs, predicted by PASS to be active against identified drug targets but for other pathologies.

Proposed drugs were selected on the basis of Drug rank which was computed from the ranks sum based on the individual ranks of the following scores:

- Target activity score (depends on ranks of all targets that were found for the selected drug);
- Disease activity score (weighted sum of number of clinical trials on disease(s) under study where the selected drug is known to be applied or PASS Disease activity score cheminformatically predicted property of the compound to be active against the studied disease(s));
- Clinical validity score (applicable only for drugs predicted on the basis of literature curation in HumanPSD[™] database (Tables 12 and 13), reflects the number of the highest clinical trials phase on which the drug was tested for any pathology).

You can refer to the Methods section for more details on drug ranking procedure.

Based on the Drug rank, a numerical value of Drug score was calculated, which reflects the potential activity of the respective drug on the overall molecular mechanism of the studied pathology. Drug score values belong to the range from 1 to 100 and are calculated as a quotient of maximum drug rank and the drug rank of the given drug multiplied by 100.

Top drugs of each category are given in the tables below:

Drugs approved in clinical trials



Table 12. FDA approved drugs or drugs used in clinical trials for the studied pathology (most promising treatment candidates selected for the identified drug targets on the basis of literature curation in $HumanPSD^{TM}$ database)

See full table \rightarrow

Name	Target names	Drug score	Disease activity score	Disease trial phase
Sirolimus	IKBKB, MAPK10, RPS6KA3, ROCK2, TGM2, MAPK12, DYRK1A, CHEK1, FGF2, PRKD1, RPS6KB1	87	3	Phase 2: Parkinson Disease, Acute Disease, Acute Lung Injury, Adenocarcinoma, Adenocarcinoma of Lung, Adenocarcinoma, Clear Cell, Adenocarcinoma, Papillary, Adenoma, Adenomatous Polyposis Coli, Adenomatous Polyps, Adenomyoepithelioma, Alzheimer Disease, Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, Anemia, Anemia, Aplastic, Anemia, Hemolytic, Anemia, Hemolytic, Autoimmune, Anemia, Hemolytic, Congenital, Anemia, Refractory, Anemia, Refractory, with Excess of Blasts, Anemia, Sickle Cell, Aneurysm, Angina Pectoris, Angina, Unstable, Angiofibroma, Angiomyolipoma, Angiomyoma, Aphasia, Aphasia, Primary Progressive, Arteriovenous Malformations, Arthritis, Arthritis, Rheumatoid, Arthrogryposis, Astrocytoma, Atrophy, Autistic Disorder, Autoimmune Lymphoproliferative Syndrome, Behavior, Blast Crisis, Bone Marrow Failure Disorders, Brain Abscess, Brain Neoplasms, Brain Stem Infarctions, Breast Neoplasms, Breast Neoplasms, Male, Bronchiolitis, Bronchiolitis Obliterans, Bronchitis, Burkitt Lymphoma, COVID-19, Carcinoid Tumor, Carcinoma, Carcinoma, Adenosquamous, Carcinoma, Islet Cell, Carcinoma, Carcinoma, Adenosquamous, Carcinoma, Talet, Carcinoma, Neuroendocrine, Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung, Carcinoma, Renal Cell, Carcinoma, Small Cell, Carcinoma, Neuroendocrine, Carcinoma, Transitional Cell, Carcinoma, Squamous Cell, Carcinoma, Tensitional Cell, Carcinoma, Verrucous, Carcinosarcoma, Chondrosarcoma, Mesenchymal, Chordoma, Chooridal Neovascularization, Cockayne Syndrome, Cognitive Dysfunction, Colorectal Neoplasms, Congenital Abnormalities, Constriction, Pathologic, Coronary Artery Disease, Cronary Disease, Cystadenocarcinoma, Cystadenocarcinoma, Serous, Sydyres, Deficiency Diseases, Dementia, Depressive, Edema, Endometrial Neoplasms, Epidermolysis Bullosa, Epidermolysis Bullosa, Epidermolysis Bullosa, Epidermolysis Bullosa, Spers, Pibroma, Castrointestinal Neoplasms, Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors, Gaucher Disaders, Math Jassi, Fibroman, Gastrointestinal Neoplasms, Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors, Gaucher Disaders, Hiv Infections, H

Deficiency Syndromes, Infarction, Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, Insulinoma, Intestinal Neoplasms, Intracranial Aneurysm, Intracranial Arteriovenous Malformations, Ischemia, Kasabach-Merritt Syndrome, Kidney Diseases, Kidney Diseases, Cystic, Kidney Failure, Chronic, Kidney Neoplasms, Klippel-Trenaunay-Weber Syndrome, Leiomyoma, Leiomyomatosis, Leiomyosarcoma, Leukemia, Leukemia, Biphenotypic, Acute, Leukemia, Erythroblastic, Acute, Leukemia, Lymphocytic, Chronic, B-Cell, Leukemia, Lymphoid, Leukemia, Megakaryoblastic, Acute, Leukemia, Monocytic, Acute, Leukemia, Myelogenous, Chronic, BCR-ABL Positive, Leukemia, Myeloid, Leukemia, Myeloid, Accelerated Phase, Leukemia, Myeloid, Acute, Leukemia, Myeloid, Chronic, Atypical, BCR-ABL Negative, Leukemia, Myeloid, Chronic-Phase, Leukemia, Myelomonocytic, Acute, Leukemia, Myelomonocytic, Chronic, Leukemia, Myelomonocytic, Juvenile, Leukemia, Plasma Cell, Leukemia, Prolymphocytic, Leukemia, Prolymphocytic, T-Cell, Leukemia, Promyelocytic, Acute, Lipoma, Liposarcoma, Liposarcoma, Myxoid, Liver Neoplasms, Lung Injury, Lung Neoplasms, Lupus Erythematosus, Systemic, Lupus Nephritis, Lymphangioleiomyomatosis, Lymphangioma, Lymphangiomyoma, Lymphatic Abnormalities, Lymphoma, Lymphoma, B-Cell, Lymphoma, B-Cell, Marginal Zone, Lymphoma, Follicular, Lymphoma, Large B-Cell, Diffuse, Lymphoma, Large-Cell, Anaplastic, Lymphoma, Large-Cell, Immunoblastic, Lymphoma, Mantle-Cell, Lymphoma, Non-Hodgkin, Lymphoma, T-Cell, Lymphoma, T-Cell, Cutaneous, Lymphoma, T-Cell, Peripheral, Lymphoproliferative Disorders, Macular Degeneration, Macular Edema, Malignant Carcinoid Syndrome, Melanoma, Metabolic Diseases, Mixed Tumor, Mullerian, Motor Neuron Disease, Mouth Neoplasms, Multiple Myeloma, Multiple Sclerosis, Multiple Sclerosis, Relapsing-Remitting, Multiple System Atrophy, Myelodysplastic Syndromes, Myelodysplastic-Myeloproliferative Diseases, Myeloproliferative Disorders, Myocardial Infarction, Myocardial Ischemia, Myoepithelioma, Myoma, Myosarcoma, Myositis, Myositis, Inclusion Body, Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma, Nasopharyngeal Neoplasms, Neoplasm Metastasis, Neoplasm, Residual, Neoplasms, Neoplasms, Germ Cell and Embryonal, Neoplasms, Plasma Cell, Neoplasms, Squamous Cell, Neoplastic Cells, Circulating, Neovascularization, Pathologic, Nephritis, Nerve Sheath Neoplasms, Nervous System Neoplasms, Neural Tube Defects, Neurilemmoma, Neuroblastoma, Neuroectodermal Tumors, Neuroectodermal Tumors, Primitive, Neuroectodermal Tumors, Primitive, Peripheral, Neuroendocrine Tumors, Neurofibroma, Neurofibroma, Plexiform, Neurofibromatoses, Neurofibromatosis 1, Neurofibrosarcoma, Neutropenia, Oligodendroglioma, Osteosarcoma, Ovarian Diseases, Ovarian Neoplasms, Pancreatic Neoplasms, Pancytopenia, Panuveitis, Pars Planitis, Peripheral Arterial Disease, Peripheral Vascular Diseases, Peritoneal Fibrosis, Peritoneal Neoplasms, Pharyngeal Neoplasms, Pica, Pick Disease of the Brain, Pinealoma, Plasmablastic Lymphoma, Plasmacytoma, Pneumonia, Polycystic Kidney Diseases, Polycystic Kidney, Autosomal Dominant, Polyps, Precancerous Conditions, Precursor Cell Lymphoblastic Leukemia-Lymphoma, Precursor T-Cell Lymphoblastic Leukemia-Lymphoma, Preleukemia, Primary Immunodeficiency Diseases, Primary Myelofibrosis, Progeria, Prostatic Neoplasms, Prostatic Neoplasms, Castration-Resistant, Pulmonary Fibrosis, Pulmonary Valve Stenosis, Purpura, Purpura, Thrombocytopenic, Purpura, Thrombocytopenic, Idiopathic, Rage, Rectal Neoplasms, Recurrence, Red-Cell Aplasia, Pure, Renal Artery Obstruction, Renal Insufficiency, Renal Insufficiency, Chronic, Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Respiratory Distress Syndrome, Newborn, Respiratory Insufficiency, Retinal Diseases, Retroperitoneal Fibrosis,

			Retroviridae Infections, Rhabdomyosarcoma, Rhabdomyosarcoma, Alveolar, Rhabdomyosarcoma, Embryonal, ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Salivary Gland Neoplasms, Sarcoidosis, Sarcoma, Sarcoma, Alveolar Soft Part, Sarcoma, Ewing, Sarcoma, Kaposi, Sarcoma, Myeloid, Sarcoma, Synovial, Scleritis, Scleroderma, Diffuse, Scleroderma, Systemic, Sclerosis, Self-Injurious Behavior, Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome, Severe Combined Immunodeficiency, Shy-Drager Syndrome, Skin Neoplasms, Small Cell Lung Carcinoma, Somatostatinoma, Squamous Cell Carcinoma of Head and Neck, Stomach Neoplasms, Sturge-Weber Syndrome, Syndrome, Telangiectasia, Hereditary Hemorrhagic, Telangiectasis, Thalassemia, Thrombocytopenia, Thrombosis, Thyroid Diseases, Thyroid Neoplasms, Tic Disorders, Tobacco Use, Tobacco Use Disorder, Tongue Neoplasms, Triple Negative Breast Neoplasms, Tuberous Sclerosis, Ureteral Neoplasms, Urethral Neoplasms, Urinary Bladder Neoplasms, Uterine Cervical Neoplasms, Uveitis, Uveitis, Intermediate, Uveitis, Posterior, Vascular Diseases, Vascular Malformations, Vitiligo, Waldenstrom Macroglobulinemia, Wet Macular Degeneration, Wounds and Injuries, alpha-Thalassemia, beta-Thalassemia
Cholecalciferol FAS	72	7	Phase 4: Parkinson Disease, Affect, Amenorrhea, Anemia, Anemia, Aplastic, Anemia, Sickle Cell, Anosmia, Arthritis, Asthma, Avitaminosis, Benign Paroxysmal Positional Vertigo, Bone Demineralization, Pathologic, Bone Diseases, Bone Diseases, Metabolic, Brain Abscess, Bronchiectasis, Bronchiolitis, COVID-19, Calcinosis, Calcium Metabolism Disorders, Carcinoma, Carcinoma, Hepatocellular, Carciowa, Transitional Cell, Cardio-Renal Syndrome, Cardiovascular Diseases, Chronic Kidney Disease-Mineral and Bone Disorder, Colitis, Colles' Fracture, Communicable Diseases, Critical Illness, Crohn Disease, Cystic Fibrosis, Cysts, Depression, Depressive Disorder, Dermatitis, Dermatitis, Atopic, Diabetes Mellitus, Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1, Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2, Diabetic Nephropathies, Dizziness, Dysbiosis, Eclampsia, Eczema, Endometriosis, Enterocolitis, Enterocolitis, Necrotizing, Epilepsy, Fatty Liver, Fatty Liver, Alcoholic, Fibromyalgia, Fibrosis, Food Hypersensitivity, Fractures, Bone, Glucose Intolerance, HELLP Syndrome, Headache, Heart Failure, Hepatitis, Hepatitis A, Hepatitis B, Hip Fractures, Hyaline Membrane Disease, Hypercalcemia, Hypercalciuria, Hyperparathyroidism, Hyperparathyroidism, Primary, Hypertasion, Hypetension, Pregnancy-Induced, Hypocalcemia, Hypogonadism, Hypoparathyroidism, Infarction, Infections, Inflammation, Inflammatory Bowel Diseases, Insulin Resistance, Irritable Bowel Syndrome, Kidney Diseases, Kidney Failure, Chronic, Leukemia, Leukemia, Lymphoid, Liver Diseases, Lung Diseases, Lung Diseases, Obstructive, Lymphoma, Magnesium Deficiency, Malnutrition, Metabolic Diseases, Mood Disorders, Multiple Sclerosis, Multiple Sclerosis, Relapsing-Remitting, Myelodysplastic Syndromes, Neoplasm Metastasis, Neoplasms, Nephrosis, Nephrotic Syndrome, Nen-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease, Obesity, Olfaction Disorders, Opioid- Related Disorders, Osteoarthritis, Osteoarthritis, Chronic, Peripheral Arterial Disease, Chronic Dostructive, Pulmonary Fibrosis, Recurrence, Renal Insufficiency, Renal Insufficiency, Chro

				Sarcoidosis, Schizophrenia, Sclerosis, Seasonal Affective Disorder, Sepsis, Stroke, Syndrome, Thalassemia, Tic Disorders, Toxemia, Tuberculosis, Tuberculosis, Pulmonary, Urticaria, Vascular Calcification, Vascular Diseases, Vertigo, Virus Diseases, Vitamin D Deficiency, alpha-Thalassemia, beta-Thalassemia
Rizatriptan	FOXO1, FAS	64	4	Phase 4: Parkinson Disease, Brain Concussion, Brain Neoplasms, Cognitive Dysfunction, Epilepsy, Headache, Migraine Disorders, Multiple Sclerosis, Pain, Peripheral Nervous System Diseases, Restless Legs Syndrome, Stroke
AcetyIsalicylic acid	IKBKB, FAS, FGF2	60	4	Phase 4: Parkinson Disease, Acute Coronary Syndrome, Aneurysm, Aneurysm, Dissecting, Angina Pectoris, Angina, Unstable, Aortic Valve Disease, Aortic Valve Insufficiency, Arteriosclerosis, Arthrils, Artherimatoid, Asthma, Asthma, Aspirin-Induced, Atherosclerosis, Atrial Fibrillation, Barrett Esophagus, Brain Abscess, Brain Concussion, Brain Infarction, Brain Injuries, Brain Injuries, Traumatic, Brain Ischemia, Brain Neoplasms, Carcinoma, Ovarian Epithelial, Cardiomyopathies, Cardiovascular Diseases, Carotid Artery Diseases, Carotid Stenosis, Cerebral Hemorrhage, Cerebral Infarction, Cerebral Small Vessel Diseases, Carotig Artery Diseases, Constriction, Pathologic, Coronary Aneurysm, Coronary Artery Disease, Coronary Disease, Coronary Restenosis, Coronary Stenosis, Coronary Aneurysm, Coronary Artery Disease, Coronary Disease, Acoudis, Diseases, Constriction, Pathologic, Coronary Aneurysm, Craniocerebral Trauma, Dementia, Dementia, Vascular, Dental Caries, Diabetes Mellitus, Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1, Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2, Diabetic Nephropathies, Disease, Drug-Related Side Effects and Adverse Reactions, Dyslipidemias, Eclampsia, Embolic Stroke, Embolism, Endometriosis, Epilepsy, Erythema, Esophagitis, Esophagitis, Peptic, Essential Hypertension, Fetal Growth Retardation, Fibrosis, Flushing, Foramen Ovale, Patent, Gastroesophageal Reflux, Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage, Glucosephosphate Dehydrogenase Deficiency, Hate, Headache, Heart Diseases, Heart Failure, Heart Valve Diseases, Hematoma, Subdural, Hematoma, Subdural, Chronic, Hematuria, Hemorrhage, Hyperlipidemias, Hypersplenism, Hypertension, Hypertension, Pregnancy-Induced, Infarction, Infections, Inflammation, Insulin Resistance, Intermittent Claudication, Intracranial Aneurysm, Intracranial Embolism, Intracranial Hemorrhages, Ischemia, Ischemic Attack, Transient, Ischemic Stroke, Kidney Diseases, Kidney Failure, Chronic, Low Back Pain, Lupus Erythematosus, System Diseases, Phoeral Tube Defects, Opioid-Related Disorders, Nucocutaneous Lymph Node Syndrome, Mu

Nicotinamide	SIRT1	59	2	N/A
--------------	-------	----	---	-----

The **Disease trial phase** column reflects the maximum clinical trials phase in which the drug was studied for the analyzed pathology.

<u>Repurposing drugs</u>



Table 13. Repurposed drugs used in clinical trials for other pathologies (prospective drugs against the identified drug targets on the basis of literature curation in HumanPSDTM database) See full table \rightarrow

Name	Target names	Drug score	Maximum trial phase
1-(5-Tert- Butyl-2-P- Tolyl-2h- Pyrazol-3- Yl)-3-[4-(2- Morpholin-4- Yl-Ethoxy)- Naphthalen- 1-Yl]-Urea	IKBKB, MAPK10, RPS6KA3, TEC, ROCK2, PRKCQ, TTK, SLK, MAP2K4, CDK7, ACVR2A, EIF2AK2, PRKG1, CHEK1, JAK2, MAP3K20, PRKD1, RPS6KB1, RIPK2, MERTK, LATS2, DYRK1A, CLK4, MAPK12, WEE1, TGFBR2, CLK1, PLK4	94	Phase 2: Arthritis, Arthritis, Rheumatoid, Psoriasis
seliciclib	IKBKB, MAPK10, RPS6KA3, TEC, ROCK2, PRKCQ, TTK, SLK, MAP2K4, CDK7, ACVR2A, EIF2AK2, PRKG1, CHEK1, JAK2, MAP3K20, PRKD1, RPS6KB1, RIPK2, MERTK, LATS2, DYRK1A, CLK4, MAPK12, WEE1, TGFBR2, CLK1, PLK4	94	Phase 2: ACTH-Secreting Pituitary Adenoma, Adenoma, Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung, Cystic Fibrosis, Cysts, Fibrosis, Pituitary ACTH Hypersecretion, Pituitary Neoplasms
ruboxistaurin	IKBKB, MAPK10, RPS6KA3, TEC, ROCK2, PRKCQ, TTK, SLK, MAP2K4, CDK7, ACVR2A, EIF2AK2, PRKG1, CHEK1, JAK2, MAP3K20, PRKD1, RPS6KB1, RIPK2, MERTK, LATS2, DYRK1A, CLK4, MAPK12, WEE1, TGFBR2, CLK1, PLK4	94	Phase 3: Diabetes Mellitus, Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1, Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2, Diabetic Neuropathies, Diabetic Retinopathy, Edema, Macular Edema, Nervous System Diseases, Peripheral Nervous System Diseases, Retinal Diseases
Sorafenib	IKBKB, MAPK10, RPS6KA3, TEC, ROCK2, PRKCQ, TTK, SLK, MAP2K4, CDK7, ACVR2A, EIF2AK2, PRKG1, CHEK1, JAK2, MAP3K20, PRKD1, RPS6KB1, RIPK2, MERTK, LATS2, DYRK1A, CLK4, MAPK12, WEE1, TGFBR2, CLK1, PLK4	94	Phase 4: Carcinoma, Carcinoma, Hepatocellular, Carcinoma, Renal Cell, Hand-Foot Syndrome, Liver Neoplasms, Neoplasm Metastasis, Neoplasms, Thrombosis
Tofacitinib	MAPK10, RPS6KA3, TEC, ROCK2, PRKCQ, TTK, SLK, MAP2K4, CDK7, ACVR2A, EIF2AK2, PRKG1, CHEK1, JAK2, MAP3K20, PRKD1, RPS6KB1, RIPK2, MERTK, LATS2, CLK4, MAPK12, WEE1, TGFBR2, CLK1, PLK4	93	Phase 4: Alopecia, Alopecia Areata, Aortic Arch Syndromes, Arteritis, Arthritis, Arthritis, Psoriatic, Arthritis, Rheumatoid, COVID-19, Colitis, Colitis, Ulcerative, Disease, Embolism, Granuloma, Granulomatosis with Polyangiitis, Infections, Lung Diseases, Lung Diseases, Interstitial, Necrosis, Rheumatic Fever, ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Spondylarthritis, Spondylitis, Spondylitis, Ankylosing, Systemic Vasculitis, Takayasu Arteritis, Thromboembolism, Ulcer, Vasculitis

The *Maximum trial phase* column reflects the maximum clinical trials phase in which the drug was studied for any pathology.



Table 14. Prospective drugs, predicted by PASS software to be active against the identified drug targets with predicted activity against the studied disease(s) (drug candidates predicted with the cheminformatics tool PASS)

See full table \rightarrow

Name	Target names	Drug score	Target activity score
Lipoic Acid	CDC25A, PTPRO, PTPRJ, EPM2A, PTPN2, PPM1D, PTPN13, PTPN12, CDC25C, PTPRK, UBASH3B	95	0.37
Tiludronate	CDC25A, PTPRO, PTPRJ, EPM2A, PTPN2, PPM1D, PTPN13, PTPN12, ACPP, CDC25C, PTPRK, UBASH3B	93	0.24
3-(Phosphonomethyl)Pyridine-2-Carboxylic Acid	CDC25A, PTPRO, PTPRJ, EPM2A, PTPN2, PPM1D, PTPN13, PTPN12, CDC25C, ACPP, PTPRK, UBASH3B	92	0.37
[[N- (Benzyloxycarbonyl)Amino]Methyl]Phosphate	CDC25A, PTPRO, PTPRJ, EPM2A, PTPN2, PPM1D, PTPN13, PTPN12, CDC25C, PTPRK, UBASH3B	91	0.26
Terlipressin	ITGA6, ITGB1, ITGAV, ITGA1	89	0.18



Table 15. Prospective drugs, predicted by PASS software to be active against the identified drug targets, though without cheminformatically predicted activity against the studied disease(s) (drug candidates predicted with the cheminformatics tool PASS) See full table \rightarrow

Name	Target names	Drug score	Target activity score
2,5,7-Trihydroxynaphthoquinone	MAPK10, CDC25A, MAPK12, SENP6, EPM2A, CASP8, DYRK1A, BRCA1	84	0.22
Lanreotide	ITGA6, ITGB1, ITGAV, ITGA1	83	0.16
Bortezomib	PSMC6, PLAT, PSMD12, PSMD5	82	9.41E-2
Thioproline	ITGA6, ITGB1, ITGAV, ITGA1	82	0.11
N-(4-MORPHOLINE)CARBONYL-B-(1- NAPHTHYL)-L-ALANINE-L-LEUCINE BORONIC ACID	PSMC6, PLAT, PSMD12, PSMD5	81	8.47E-2

As the result of drug search we propose the following drugs as most promising candidates for treating the pathology under study: Sirolimus, 1-(5-Tert-Butyl-2-P-Tolyl-2h-Pyrazol-3-YI)-3-[4-(2-Morpholin-4-YI-Ethoxy)-Naphthalen-1-YI]-Urea, Lipoic Acid and 2,5,7-Trihydroxynaphthoquinone. These drugs were selected for acting on the following targets: PRKD1, CLK4, PTPN2 and CASP8, which were predicted to be active in the molecular mechanism of the studied pathology.

The selected drugs are top ranked drug candidates from each of the four categories of drugs: (1) FDA approved drugs or used in clinical trials drugs for the studied pathology; (2) repurposing drugs used in clinical trials for other pathologies; (3) drugs, predicted by PASS software to be active against the studied pathology; (4) drugs, predicted by PASS software to be repurposed from other pathologies.

6. Conclusion

We applied the software package "Genome Enhancer" to a data set that contains *transcriptomics* data. The study is done in the context of *Parkinson Disease*. The data were pre-processed, statistically analyzed and differentially expressed genes were identified. Also checked was the enrichment of GO or disease categories among the studied gene sets.

We propose the following drugs as most promising candidates for treating the pathology under study:



Sirolimus, 1-(5-Tert-Butyl-2-P-Tolyl-2h-Pyrazol-3-Yl)-3-[4-(2-Morpholin-4-Yl-Ethoxy)-Naphthalen-1-Yl]-Urea, Lipoic Acid and 2,5,7-Trihydroxynaphthoquinone

These drugs were selected for acting on the following targets: PRKD1, CLK4, PTPN2 and CASP8, which were predicted to be involved in the molecular mechanism of the pathology under study.

The identified molecular mechanism of the studied pathology was predicted to be mainly based on the following key drug targets:



These potential drug targets should be considered as a prospective research initiative for further drug repurposing and drug development purposes. The following drugs were predicted as, matching those drug targets: Terlipressin, 2,5,7-Trihydroxynaphthoquinone, Cytarabine, Hesperetin, Tegafur, Lanreotide, Curcumin, 1-(5-Tert-Butyl-2-P-Tolyl-2h-Pyrazol-3-Yl)-3-[4-(2-Morpholin-4-Yl-Ethoxy)-Naphthalen-1-Yl]-Urea and 4-Aminophthalhydrazide. These drugs should be considered with special caution for research purposes only.

In this study, we came up with a detailed signal transduction network regulating differentially expressed genes in the studied pathology. In this network we have revealed the following top master regulators (signaling proteins and their complexes) that play a crucial role in the molecular mechanism of the studied pathology, which can be proposed as the most promising molecular targets for further drug repurposing and drug development initiatives.

- Caspase-8
- cIAP-2
- integrins
- CLK4

Potential drug compounds which can be affecting these targets can be found in the "Finding prospective drug targets" section.

7. Methods

Databases used in the study

Transcription factor binding sites in promoters and enhancers of differentially expressed genes were analyzed using known DNA-binding motifs described in the TRANSFAC® library, release 2023.1 (geneXplain GmbH, Wolfenbüttel, Germany) (https://genexplain.com/transfac).

The master regulator search uses the TRANSPATH® database (BIOBASE), release 2023.1 (geneXplain GmbH, Wolfenbüttel, Germany) (https://genexplain.com/transpath). A comprehensive signal transduction network of human cells is built by the software on the basis of reactions annotated in TRANSPATH®.

The information about drugs corresponding to identified drug targets and clinical trials references were extracted from HumanPSD[™] database, release 2023.1 (https://genexplain.com/humanpsd).

The Ensembl database release Human104.38 (hg38) (http://www.ensembl.org) was used for gene IDs representation and Gene Ontology (GO) (http://geneontology.org) was used for functional classification of the studied gene set.

Methods for the analysis of enriched transcription factor binding sites and composite modules

Transcription factor binding sites in promoters and enhancers of differentially expressed genes were analyzed using known DNA-binding motifs. The motifs are specified using position weight matrices (PWMs) that give weights to each nucleotide in each position of the DNA binding motif for a transcription factor or a group of them.

We search for transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) that are enriched in the promoters and enhancers under study as compared to a background sequence set such as promoters of genes that were not differentially regulated under the condition of the experiment. We denote study and background sets briefly as Yes and No sets. In the current work we used a workflow considering promoter sequences of a standard length of 1100 bp (-1000 to +100). The error rate in this part of the pipeline is controlled by estimating the adjusted p-value (using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure) in comparison to the TFBS frequency found in randomly selected regions of the human genome (adj.p-value < 0.01).

We have applied the CMA algorithm (Composite Module Analyst) for searching composite modules [7] in the promoters and enhancers of the Yes and No sets. We searched for a composite module consisting of a cluster of 10 TFs in a sliding window of 200-300 bp that statistically significantly separates sequences in the Yes and No sets (minimizing Wilcoxon p-value).

Methods for finding master regulators in networks

We searched for master regulator molecules in signal transduction pathways upstream of the identified transcription factors. The master regulator search uses a comprehensive signal transduction network of human cells. The main algorithm of the master regulator search has been described earlier [3,4]. The goal of the algorithm is to find nodes in the global signal transduction network that may potentially regulate the activity of a set of transcription factors found at the previous step of the analysis. Such nodes are considered as most promising drug targets, since any influence on such a node may switch the transcriptional programs of hundreds of genes that are regulated by the respective TFs. In our analysis, we have run the algorithm with a maximum radius of 12 steps upstream of each TF in the input set. The error rate of this algorithm is controlled by applying it 10000 times to randomly generated sets of input transcription factors of the same set-size. Z-score and FDR value of ranks are calculated then for each potential master regulator node on the basis of such random runs (see detailed description in [9]). We control the error rate by the FDR threshold 0.05.

Methods for analysis of pharmaceutical compounds

We seek for the optimal combination of molecular targets (key elements of the regulatory network of the cell) that potentially interact with pharmaceutical compounds from a library of known drugs and biologically active chemical compounds, using information about known drugs from HumanPSD[™] and predicting potential drugs using PASS program.

Method for analysis of known pharmaceutical compounds

We selected compounds from HumanPSD^m database that have at least one target. Next, we sort compounds using "*Drug rank*" that is the sum of the following ranks:

- 1. ranking by "Target activity score" (*T*-score_{PSD}),
- 2. ranking by "Disease activity score" (*D*-score_{PSD}),
- 3. ranking by "Clinical validity score".

"Target activity score" (*T*-score_{PSD}) is calculated as follows:

$$T\text{-}score_{\scriptscriptstyle PSD} = -\frac{|T|}{|T| + w(|AT| - |T|))} \sum_{t \in T} \log_{10} \left(\frac{rank(t)}{1 + maxRank(T)} \right),$$

where *T* is set of all targets related to the compound intersected with input list, |T| is number of elements in *T*, *AT* and |AT| are set set of all targets related to the compound and number of elements in it, *w* is weight multiplier, *rank(t)* is rank of given target, *maxRank(T)* equals *max(rank(t))* for all targets *t* in *T*.

We use following formula to calculate "Disease activity score" (*D*-score_{PSD}):

$$D\text{-}score_{PSD} = \begin{cases} \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{p \in P} phase(d, p) \\ 0, D = \emptyset \end{cases},$$

where *D* is the set of selected diseases, and if *D* is empty set, D-score_{PSD}=0. *P* is a set of all known phases for each disease, phase(p,d) equals to the phase number if there are known clinical trials for the selected disease on this phase and zero otherwise.

The clinical validity score reflects the number of the highest clinical trials phase (from 1 to 4) on which the drug was ever tested for any pathology.

Method for prediction of pharmaceutical compounds

In this study, the focus was put on compounds with high pharmacological efficiency and low toxicity. For this purpose, comprehensive library of chemical compounds and drugs was subjected to a SAR/QSAR analysis. This library contains 13040 compounds along with their pre-calculated potential pharmacological activities of those substances, their possible side and toxic effects, as well as the possible mechanisms of action. All biological activities are expressed as probability values for a substance to exert this activity (*Pa*).

We selected compounds that satisfied the following conditions:

- 1. Toxicity below a chosen toxicity threshold (defines as *Pa*, probability to be active as toxic substance).
- 2. For all predicted pharmacological effects that correspond to a set of user selected disease(s) *Pa* is greater than a chosen effect threshold.
- 3. There are at least 2 targets (corresponding to the predicted activity-mechanisms) with predicted *Pa* greater than a chosen target threshold.

The maximum *Pa* value for all toxicities corresponding to the given compound is selected as the "Toxicity score". The maximum *Pa* value for all activities corresponding to the selected diseases for the given compound is used as the "Disease activity score". "Target activity score" (T-score) is calculated as follows:

$$T\text{-}score(s) = \frac{|T|}{|T| + w(|AT| - |T|))} \sum_{m \in M(s)} \left(pa(m) \sum_{g \in G(m)} IAP(g)optWeight(g) \right),$$

where M(s) is the set of activity-mechanisms for the given structure (which passed the chosen threshold for activity-mechanisms Pa); G(m) is the set of targets (converted to genes) that corresponds to the given activity-mechanism (m) for the given compound; pa(m) is the probability to be active of the activity-mechanism (m), IAP(g) is the invariant accuracy of prediction for gene from G(m); optWeight(g) is the additional weight multiplier for gene. T is set of all targets related to the compound intersected with input list, |T| is number of elements in T, AT and |AT| are set set of all targets related to the compound and number of elements in it, w is weight multiplier. "Druggability score" (D-score) is calculated as follows:

$$D\text{-}score(g) = IAP(g) \sum_{s \in S(g)} \sum_{m \in M(s,g)} pa(m),$$

where S(g) is the set of structures for which target list contains given target, M(s,g) is the set of activity-mechanisms (for the given structure) that corresponds to the given gene, pa(m) is the probability to be active of the activity-mechanism (m), IAP(g) is the invariant accuracy of prediction for the given gene.

8. References

- 1. Kel A, Voss N, Jauregui R, Kel-Margoulis O, Wingender E. Beyond microarrays: Finding key transcription factors controlling signal transduction pathways. *BMC Bioinformatics*. **2006**;7(S2), S13. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7-s2-s13
- 2. Stegmaier P, Voss N, Meier T, Kel A, Wingender E, Borlak J. Advanced Computational Biology Methods Identify Molecular Switches for Malignancy in an EGF Mouse Model of Liver Cancer. *PLoS*

ONE. **2011**;6(3):e17738. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0017738

- 3. Koschmann J, Bhar A, Stegmaier P, Kel A, Wingender E. "Upstream Analysis": An Integrated Promoter-Pathway Analysis Approach to Causal Interpretation of Microarray Data. *Microarrays.* **2015**;4(2):270-286. doi:10.3390/microarrays4020270.
- 4. Kel A, Stegmaier P, Valeev T, Koschmann J, Poroikov V, Kel-Margoulis OV, and Wingender E. Multiomics "upstream analysis" of regulatory genomic regions helps identifying targets against methotrexate resistance of colon cancer. *EuPA Open Proteom.* **2016**;13:1-13. doi:10.1016/j.euprot.2016.09.002
- 5. Michael H, Hogan J, Kel A et al. Building a knowledge base for systems pathology. *Brief Bioinformatics.* **2008**;9(6):518-531. doi:10.1093/bib/bbn038
- Matys V, Kel-Margoulis OV, Fricke E, Liebich I, Land S, Barre-Dirrie A, Reuter I, Chekmenev D, Krull M, Hornischer K, Voss N, Stegmaier P, Lewicki-Potapov B, Saxel H, Kel AE, Wingender E. TRANSFAC and its module TRANSCompel: transcriptional gene regulation in eukaryotes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006;34(90001):D108-D110. doi:10.1093/nar/gkj143
- Kel AE, Gössling E, Reuter I, Cheremushkin E, Kel-Margoulis OV, Wingender E. MATCH: A tool for searching transcription factor binding sites in DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2003;31(13):3576-3579. doi:10.1093/nar/gkg585
- 8. Waleev T, Shtokalo D, Konovalova T, Voss N, Cheremushkin E, Stegmaier P, Kel-Margoulis O, Wingender E, Kel A. Composite Module Analyst: identification of transcription factor binding site combinations using genetic algorithm. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2006**;34(Web Server issue):W541-5.
- Krull M, Pistor S, Voss N, Kel A, Reuter I, Kronenberg D, Michael H, Schwarzer K, Potapov A, Choi C, Kel-Margoulis O, Wingender E. TRANSPATH: an information resource for storing and visualizing signaling pathways and their pathological aberrations. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006;34(90001):D546-D551. doi:10.1093/nar/gkj107
- Boyarskikh U, Pintus S, Mandrik N, Stelmashenko D, Kiselev I, Evshin I, Sharipov R, Stegmaier P, Kolpakov F, Filipenko M, Kel A. Computational master-regulator search reveals mTOR and PI3K pathways responsible for low sensitivity of NCI-H292 and A427 lung cancer cell lines to cytotoxic action of p53 activator Nutlin-3. *BMC Med Genomics.* **2018**;11(1):12. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-7s2-s13
- Filimonov D, Poroikov V. Probabilistic Approaches in Activity Prediction. Varnek A, Tropsha A. Cheminformatics Approaches to Virtual Screening. Cambridge (UK): RSC Publishing. 2008;:182-216.
- 2. Filimonov DA, Poroikov VV. Prognosis of specters of biological activity of organic molecules. *Russian chemical journal.* **2006**;50(2):66-75 (russ)
- 3. Filimonov D, Poroikov V, Borodina Y, Gloriozova T. Chemical Similarity Assessment Through Multilevel Neighborhoods of Atoms: Definition and Comparison with the Other Descriptors. *ChemInform.* **1999**;39(4):666-670. doi:10.1002/chin.199940210

Thank you for using the Genome Enhancer!

In case of any questions please contact us at support@genexplain.com

Supplementary material

- 1. Supplementary table 1 Up-regulated genes
- 2. Supplementary table 2 Down-regulated genes
- 3. Supplementary table 3 Detailed report. Composite modules and master regulators (upregulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox).
- 4. Supplementary table 4 Detailed report. Composite modules and master regulators (down-regulated genes in noRA_Dox vs. noRA_noDox).
- 5. Supplementary table 5 Detailed report. Pharmaceutical compounds and drug targets.

Disclaimer

Decisions regarding care and treatment of patients should be fully made by attending doctors. The predicted chemical compounds listed in the report are given only for doctor's consideration and they cannot be treated as prescribed medication. It is the physician's responsibility to independently decide whether any, none or all of the predicted compounds can be used solely or in combination for patient treatment purposes, taking into account all applicable information regarding FDA prescribing recommendations for any therapeutic and the patient's condition, including, but not limited to, the patient's and family's medical history, physical examinations, information from various diagnostic tests, and patient preferences in accordance with the current standard of care. Whether or not a particular patient will benefit from a selected therapy is based on many factors and can vary significantly.

The compounds predicted to be active against the identified drug targets in the report are not guaranteed to be active against any particular patient's condition. GeneXplain GmbH does not give any assurances or guarantees regarding the treatment information and conclusions given in the report. There is no guarantee that any third party will provide a refund for any of the treatment decisions made based on these results. None of the listed compounds was checked by Genome Enhancer for adverse side-effects or even toxic effects.

The analysis report contains information about chemical drug compounds, clinical trials and disease biomarkers retrieved from the HumanPSD[™] database of gene-disease assignments maintained and exclusively distributed worldwide by geneXplain GmbH. The information contained in this database is collected from scientific literature and public clinical trials resources. It is updated to the best of geneXplain's knowledge however we do not guarantee completeness and reliability of this information leaving the final checkup and consideration of the predicted therapies to the medical doctor.

The scientific analysis underlying the Genome Enhancer report employs a complex analysis pipeline which uses geneXplain's proprietary Upstream Analysis approach, integrated with TRANSFAC® and TRANSPATH® databases maintained and exclusively distributed worldwide by geneXplain GmbH. The pipeline and the databases are updated to the best of geneXplain's knowledge and belief, however, geneXplain GmbH shall not give a warranty as to the characteristics or to the content and any of the results produced by Genome Enhancer. Moreover, any warranty concerning the completeness, up-to-dateness, correctness and usability of Genome Enhancer information and results produced by it, shall be excluded.

The results produced by Genome Enhancer, including the analysis report, severely depend on the quality of input data used for the analysis. It is the responsibility of Genome Enhancer users to check the input data quality and parameters used for running the Genome Enhancer pipeline.

Note that the text given in the report is not unique and can be fully or partially repeated in other Genome Enhancer analysis reports, including reports of other users. This should be considered when publishing any results or excerpts from the report. This restriction refers only to the general description of analysis methods used for generating the report. All data and graphics referring to the concrete set of input data, including lists of mutated genes, differentially expressed genes/proteins/metabolites, functional classifications, identified transcription factors and master regulators, constructed molecular networks, lists of chemical compounds and reconstructed model of molecular mechanisms of the studied pathology are unique in respect to the used input data set and Genome Enhancer pipeline parameters used for the current run.